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1. Context and Introduction to Training

This booklet is supplementing the teaching materials and the set of further supporting booklets that have been developed to support teachers in conducting training courses related to the sustainable management of critical raw materials.

SusCritMat aims to educate people from Master’s student level up, both in industry and academia about important aspects of sustainable critical raw materials. In a novel concept, it introduces courses on these complex and interdisciplinary topics in a modular structure, adaptable to a variety of different formats and accessible to both students and managers in industry. These courses will develop new skills, which will help participants to better understand the impact and role of critical raw materials in the whole value chain; enabling them to identify and mitigate risks. Understanding the bigger picture and the interconnected nature of global business and society is increasingly necessary to and valued by industry.

SusCritMat is an EU-funded project that brings together the technical and pedagogical expertise of leading educational institutions and business partners. It uses and creates teaching materials which can be combined into different course formats.

The collection of training manuals presents the key messages related with the sustainable management of critical raw materials in three major sections:

● Introduction to criticality
● Analysis of criticality
● Solutions for sustainable management

In particular, the solutions part will be in the focus. The intention is to underline the possibilities that are available to approach and implement a circular economy for critical raw materials and the products bearing these. Doing so the concrete actions, i.e. the things that can be done, are highlighted, instead of only mentioning all sorts of associated problems or barriers in the context of CRMs.

The overall goal of the SusCritMat project is to qualify lecturers to teach the topics themselves. Therefore, the teaching resources do not only provide an introduction and improved insight into selected thematic issues, but also deliver a set of teaching materials “ready-to-use”.

- Learning targets that will be reached after having taught the courses
- Presentations on the specific topics including also notes on how to present the slides and key messages.
- Group work exercises including the task or question to work on, if applicable further reading on the methodology and the solutions in case of tasks requiring calculations.
- Assessment questions and the correct answers for each specific topic.
- Additional reading for each topic.
1.1 Training Materials List

The SusCritMat project developed the following teaching materials:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Critical Resources for emerging technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Circular Economy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Criticality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Responsible Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Responsible Sourcing/Certification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Closing Loops on Product Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Geopolitical aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Metals &amp; CRM scenarios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sustainability Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Waste Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Simulation-based Design for Recycling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Restricted Substances Legislation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Historical solutions for CRM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Characterizing the Urban Mine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Environmental Aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Process Models based on LCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Responsible Business Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Supply chain resilience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Sustainable materials usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>CRM and Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Circular Business Models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Economy of rare metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Supply Risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Recycling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Good Use of Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 Suggested timetable

The agenda contains a recommended timing for the lecture and exercises. However, depending on the pre-existing knowledge or group size the time can be extended.

- Lecture: 40 minutes
- Exercise: 95 minutes (including 15 minutes break). The exercise can be performed in groups or be an individual assignment.
- Recap: 20 minutes
1.3 Key Messages
This training module introduces the field of circular economy, a complex and dynamic field which has potential to contribute towards mitigating critical materials risks in the 21st century. The module includes:

- A presentation giving an overview of circular economy
- A class based exercise to explore tensions and lessons learned for learners
- Key guiding topics for teachers in the class based exercise

This module presents an overview of some of the main circular economy frameworks which have been developed. After this overview, an example of possible materials assessments follows. Further models are considered, including a consideration of ‘refuse’. Critical materials use in circular cities is reviewed and this includes consideration of the time aspect. The ‘fields of tension’ is opened up at the end to show going circular is not easy or without risks. It also shows that without careful consideration going circular could contain critical materials risks.

For teachers and learners who are more familiar with working with circular economy teaching or learning, respectively, there is an opportunity to extend the class based exercise to explore current challenges around going circular and the resources needed to achieve sustainability goals. This could be further developed to explore future scenarios.

1.4 Learning Objectives
After following this course, the learner should be able to:

- Reproduce the ‘butterfly model’ of a circular economy;
- Explain the desired outcomes of a circular economy.
- Describe the concept of hierarchies and most to least desirable options
- Describe the interlinkage between CE and CRM
- Critically assess the tensions in the field of CE and in particular in relation to critical materials.
- Describe the CE actions which can mitigate CRM challenges in the future.
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2. Slides and Notes

Slides are supplied in ppt format with annotations.

### Overview

- introduction of the concept
- relationship with CRM's
- quantifying the CE
- examples
- exercise

### Introduction

[Circular Economy Diagram]

### Closing the loop

CIRCULAR ECONOMY

many definitions, which vary with the scope and stakeholders, but essentially, it means closing the loop(s)
## Closing the loop

**CIRCULAR ECONOMY**

Many definitions, which vary with the scope and stakeholders, but essentially, it means closing the loop(s).

→ What are the loops? Where are they?

## Ellen MacArthur Scheme (Butterfly model)

### Biosphere

![Biosphere diagram](image)

### Technosphere

![Technosphere diagram](image)

## Sustainability

Important to know:

- Circular Economy is a tool towards a set goal (a research question).
- CE is not the end goal in itself.
- CE also does not mean that what is done is always sustainable.
  - It should be, but some have other agendas....
### Desired outcomes of a Circular Economy

- waste is prevented
- materials and products are used for a longer period (product life extension)
- increased efficiency and optimisation
- which means value increases
- promotes diversity of offer

### Steps towards a Circular Economy

- closing and connecting material flows (for example, using MMR)
- urban mining (using the stocks around society)
- using the r-ladder

### R-Ladder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most circular</th>
<th>Least circular</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Critical Materials (elements to energy generation)

![Image of critical materials and energy generation]

### Trace elements vs. combinations

![Image of trace elements and combinations]
Changing risks with time, properties at risk

Circular Economy – A focal point for optimisation

Circular Economy – further framework Options

Recycle – A new set of aspirations? Or does recycle mean no product / service?

Quantifying Circularity

Full points: Recycled Parts, Novel Add-on Parts, Recycled Material, Virgin Material

Performance vs. Benchmark

Performance

- Range
- Efficiency
- Cost

Data points: Recycled Parts, Novel Add-on Parts, Recycled Material, Virgin Material

Full Ellen MacArthur & Danusa Methodology available on EIT website.
Circular Economy – Long term thinking

CE solutions with CRM – the complexity challenge

It is not just electronics.... Circular cities = a lot of metal – and CRM

Layers – different time scales for a building/city

CE and areas of tension

- CE wants market forces to decline... (not included)
- CE encourages but not directs. Helium in balloons, etc. Digital solutions, electric, etc.
- CE needs a wide range of circular options to be available. Microcosm = waste?
- CE does not specify materials. Exp. not CRM. Use all M in construction + CRM
- CE limited to societal engagement. People are just a model? Poverty?
- CE does not link strongly to energy (and climate) + Climate emergency as CRM?
- Circular does not always lead to sustainability? More services = lower impact?
- CE needs ‘economy’ and therefore “refuse” = buy differently? Reduce = not buying?
- CE is not supposed to be a “top-down” measure? Why?...
MODULE 2 CIRCULAR ECONOMY

THANK YOU

MODULE 2 CIRCULAR ECONOMY

EXERCISE

Consider the list of CE ‘tensions’ proposed below:

- CE wants market forces to decide: See Wolfgang, above.
- CE encourages but does not dictate: inclusion in sectors, e.g., digital inclusion, atomic, etc.
- CE needs a wide range of circular options to be available. Renewables = waste?
- CE does not specify materials. Can we still do circularisation? CRM?
- CE limits an societal engagement. Are we joint societal? Possible?
- CE does not ask us to change our thinking frameworks. Sometimes ask the “why”?
- Circular does not always lead to sustainability: how services = new impact?
- CE needs ‘recycling’ and therefore ‘buy different’ vs. ‘new’ vs. ‘recycling’
- CRM is also needed to buy a ‘banned’ mineral? Possibly.

In your group/pairs/individual answer the following questions and feedback on a flip chart:

1. Which strategies could reduce these tensions?
2. Can you add more tensions?

Reminder of CRMs

Critical Raw Materials

This activity has received funding from the European Institute of Innovation and Technology (EIT), a body of the European Union, under the Horizon 2020, the EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation.
3. Circular economy exercise notes

The exercise:

A list of tensions;
• CE wants market forces to decide. See Molycorp. story
• CE encourages but not directs. Helium in balloons, IoT, Digital solutions, electric, etc.
• CE seeks a wide range of circular options to be available. Markets = waste?
• CE does not specify materials. Esp. not CRM’s. Use Al-Al in construction = CRM
• CE limited on societal engagement. People are just a market? Poverty?
• CE does not link strongly to energy (and climate)? Climate emergency vs CRM?
• Circular does not always lead to sustainability? More services = lower impact?
• CE needs ‘economy’ and therefore ‘refuse’ = buy differently? Refuse = not buying?
• CE is not supposed to be a ‘stop-gap’ measure? Isn’t it?......

In your group / pairs / individual answer the following questions and feedback on a flip chart:

1. Which strategies could reduce these tensions?
2. Can you add more tensions?

1. INTRODUCTION

In this exercise the learners are asked to consider a list of tensions that a transition to a circular economy could create. The tutor can add or change this list depending on the learners’ prior knowledge / course content.

This guide will provide guidance on both parts of the exercise separately.

2. PART ONE – Which strategies could reduce these tensions?

This can provide an extensive and lengthy exercise for the learners, depending on their level and prior knowledge. The teacher should be ready to guide the learners to perhaps pick some tension examples which suit the course best / or are of real interest / concern to the group / individual. As the list is derived from actual tensions in the research field there are no ‘right’ answers. A guide for the teacher could be to remind the learners that the sustainable development goals provide a useful framework. If a learner proposes a strategy does it contradict the SDGs?

It may not take learners long to begin questioning the very basis of ‘an economy’ and asking if a circular economy can work in a material and energy constrained world. They may begin to question the entire
financial system, profit, growth, economic models, etc. That is good but watch out for ‘thought paralysis’ with learners feeling ‘there is no point there is nothing we can do’. Step in and direct towards strategies which can be deployed in the more short term. Depending on the group size / time this can be discussed in plenary.

The final tension around ‘stop gap measure’ considers the timescales and goals of a CE. Are we building a CE to build a better world into the long term future? Or are we using CE to get us through the current ‘emergency’?

3. **PART TWO - ‘Can you add more tensions?’**

This part of the exercise is a more complex challenge. The ideas may flow and the list get long, making the sense of ‘we can change things’ diminish.

In the context of critical materials there is evidence which shows that companies and markets, if left alone, will not ‘fix it’. So this exercise asks what could be even more challenging than going circular? What should actors do? Should the state intervene to ensure security of supply is maintained to keep the CE on the road?

There are no ‘right’ answers to this part either. It is a contentious issue with policy makers, designers, society, companies and academics.

This exercise could open up discussion around ‘who owns stuff?’. Who should have access to critical materials and should they share or conserve for themselves? Can CE solve this?

Be ready for lively debate, and moderate if views become too strong, nationalistic or even offensive.

4. **RECAP and CLOSE**

Return to the butterfly diagram. By the end the learners may be feeling a bit overwhelmed with the complexity (as shown on the slide with the EU ProSUM project). Coming back to the butterfly diagram provides a clearer space for the learners to land. Highlight that whilst the CE aims are helpful the journey in the context of CRM is not easy. CRM can play a role of ‘jokers in
the pack’ and disrupt the simpler models of CE, which are normally based on ‘bulk’ material flows.
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6. Disclaimer

The teaching materials within the SusCritMat project are still in development and undergo several revisions. We therefore currently provide only preliminary versions of teaching manuals, slides and exercises that will be finalized by the end of the project in early 2020.